


REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated February 22, 2006. Examiner's allowance of claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11-14, and 17-29 is noted with appreciation. Examiner objected to Claim 10 because it depended from a rejected claim. Claim 10 has been rewritten in independent form. Examiner rejected claims 7-9 and 16. These rejected claims have been canceled.

On page 2, paragraph 2 of the Office Action, Examiner objected to the Specification. The undersigned attorney telephoned Examiner Nguyen on May 16, 2006, to obtain clarification of this objection. It was explained to Examiner Nguyen, that the Specification had already been amended to overcome this rejection in Applicants' amendment dated November 15, 2005. Examiner Nguyen suggested that a copy of the Amendment dated November 15, 2005 be appended hereto. Accordingly, a copy of the Amendment dated November 15, 2005 is enclosed herewith.

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all the claims currently in this application are in condition for allowance. If Examiner has a question or comment or if Applicants' attorney can assist in any manner whatsoever, Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned

Respectfully submitted,
Benjamim Tang et al



Attorney for Applicants
Theodore E. Galanthay
Attorney Reg. No. 24,122

From: Primarion, Inc.
3650 E. Wier Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85040

Telephone: 480-575-0744